## **Resident's Objections include**

- 1. No Net benefit for Dingley Village
- 2. A twenty percent increase in our Village population
- 3. Not in Village Character. Dingley Village currently is overwhelmingly one or two storey individual homes, an estimated 80% of large family lots being 550 650sq metres. The developer wants 823 lots, with many less than 300 sq metres.
- 4. No Community facilities like sporting ovals.
- 5. Gross under-provision of **parking** for residents, coupled with anticipated narrow streets. They have even applied to **reduce** their parking obligations! So each tiny apartment will have up to **four cars in tiny streets and nature-strips.** (Parking on Nature strips in Dingley Village is a \$120 fine.)
- 6. No consideration of Amenity.
- 7. The Kingswood Board, nor the developer **have ever consulted residents in a manner that resulted in our ideas being properly adopted.** Despite Simon Brookhouse - the Chief Executive Officer of Golf Victoria publishing guidelines for Golf Club mergers, stating that clubs should engage residents first.
- 8. Displays, invitations to comment and surveys by the developer have always been "this is what's happening - like it or not". They then use any resident's feedback against us. Not one of the resident's comments have been adopted in a satisfactory manner. The DVCA and Save Kingswood have not heard from the Developer for years.
- 9. The developer has multiple responses on their website, belittling the value of wildlife and the park in general, in direct response to our objections (see examples further on)
- 10. No preservation of the thousands of trees, estimated at 20,000 native Dingley Village trees, as that's the number of indigenous trees planted.
- 11. One of their plans showed **a single tree preserved**.
- 12. Loss of the only significant treed open space in Dingley Village.
- 13. The "lungs" cooling, filter and oxygen production for Dingley Village. As advocated by all councils.

- 14.Unimaginable **thousands of Birds and wildlife lost.** How many will be killed or have their homes demolished by developers? The developers will be required to re-locate wildlife. They absolutely will not do that properly and is a hideous imposition for the wildlife currently living in comparative peace. How many thousands will they miss?
- 15. Increased traffic their own data suggests up to **6800 vehicle movements per day and 680 vehicle movements per peak hour, on a single lane road**, plus soon (a) the Hawthorn Football Club traffic and (b) the Aqua Park traffic.
- 16. Up to **one hour to travel through Dingley Village** when traffic increases proven, as it's already happened multiple times!
- 17. Not enough Maternal Health places waiting lists
- 18. Child Care waiting lists
- 19. Kinders already full
- 20. Primary Schools full portables installed on Dingley Primary preps front lawn playing area. In a recent year, Kingswood Primary turned away 75 applications.
- 21. **NO Secondary Schools** those in other distant towns full portables installed and Parkdale Secondary has said "enough! we cannot have more portables".
- 22. Loss of an aircraft safety and noise buffer for thousands of circuits, take-offs and landings, including passenger Jets and Helicopters. Moorabbin Airport is just seconds flight time away and is one of Australia's busiest Airports. The Golf Course is also the flight path and health plus noise issues are of concern. They already exceed limits
- 23. Health services overloaded now, regularly wait up to 2-3 weeks for the Doctor of your choice.
- 24. Lack of sporting facilities now, before 2,200+ extra residents
- 25. The developer had the incredible audacity to show the flood lakes (retarding basins) plus overflow areas in their open space! Those flood areas will not be owned by them.
- 26. Now they admit that **the roads will become rivers** when needed.

- 27. Dingley Village already **floods**, before they cover over the Golf Course and Aquifer. Melbourne water has almost no idea of flood incidents, that still occur after they tried to clear 1.4 kilometres of the main drain for a month! Their plans are based on Melbourne Water's inadequate and flawed input. One map was from 1966 ! The Save Kingswood Group has photographic proof that the Kingswood flood plain affects the entire Village hugely more than indicated on Melbourne Water's plans. The existing Retarding Basin already overflows and mainly soaks into the Golf Course. The Golf course presently has an aquifer, established with State Government assistance, a \$100,000 grant. Developers want to remove that immense flood safety-net by covering it all over. We will have flood events of biblical magnitude. They want to cover the site with paving, roads and buildings and fantasise that a new retarding basin will hold back the tsunami of waters from upstream Springvale and remain on the site.
- 28. A homeowner close to the golf course park has been flooded for years. Hundreds of thousands of dollars in damage has resulted. The resident has lobbied Melbourne Water for many years for a remedy, with no result. It's even possible the house will be condemned. Kingston Council and Government - don't allow this to become widespread!
- 29. Given Council and Government are now on notice of the flood problem, if a development proceeded, who can residents sue for flood damage?
- 30. **Shopping** more difficult the carpark is already regularly full, often twice a day will residents again shop out of town to avoid the chaos?
- 31. No developer will provide the \$20 \$40 million needed to address the essential needs of Dingley Village.
- 32. The Community Centre overloaded already needs more space, not provided by the current re-modelling.
- 33. A 20 percent increase in Village population new town in the centre of town
- 34. Overloading a Village that is up to an hour away in peak traffic from Cheltenham railway station and the **genuine** Activity Centre.

- 35. Years of Chaos, noise and Dust a strain on medical facilities for asthma and other lung complaints. Proven in a nearby destruction!
- 36. We are already meeting the State Government's burden of overpopulation and providing enough housing.
- 37. Expect more buses in your area, even though many run nearly empty now. Plus an attempt to re-introduce Bus Lanes on Centre Dandenong road, which was previously **voted against by 96% of Dingley Village residents in two polls, including a Council poll**. Bus lanes would create some of the narrowest road lanes in Melbourne, as Centre Dandenong road is a single lane
- 38. NBN and other services clogged, so everyone endures a much slower connection than copper proven in other areas.
- 39. Sewer overloaded?
- 40. **Electricity** huge demands will put stress on power, with potentially lower voltage and longer power outages
- 41. Reduced mains water pressure
- 42. We object to a massive roundabout at the intersection of Spring road/McClure roads, plus a second road into Spring road (near where it floods), and roads into Wolbers road and Toorak roads. Both the latter quiet back roads that will become "rat races" / speedways.
- 43. We object to the main "**speedway**" between Spring road and Centre Dandenong roads.
- 44. They want to move out the mobile phone tower on Kingswood, so will phone reception be terrible again?
- 45. Expect scorched earth of biblical proportions, killing or displacing thousands of wildlife and nearly every tree tree destroyed. One plan showed 19 trees preserved, another showed just one tree preserved.
- 46. Where will the snakes and blue-tongue lizards go? Yes! Into some of the 140 backyards, through the open weave cyclone fence. Both Species are protected.
- 47. How many Birds, Echidnas and other wildlife will have their habitat destroyed? How many will be killed by greedy developers?
- 48. No consideration for the 140 neighbours on the boundary. They were told when purchasing in a premium area that they had "views forever". Instead they will have years of dust, plus overshadowing, loss of privacy and noise forever.

- 49. Do residents know that loss of home values or views are not acceptable objections? But the 140 boundary residents and the close neighbours will lose thousands of dollars in their home value. The developer had the gall to say home values in Dingley Village would go up!! The home value losses to the nearby community could easily exceed \$40 million. It's reasonable to expect homes on the boundary would each lose at least \$100,000 each and their views.
- 50. Little consideration for infrastructure
- 51. No consideration for current services needs, nor those they will impose.
- 52. **They will BUILD AND BOLT**, fleecing Dingley Village, leaving misery and existing homes under threat and quickly forget us.

We repeat:

## **No Net benefit for Dingley Village**